Previous references.

1903-04
(Sep: Rev:)

Later references.

File No. 161/02-03
Serial Nos. 164.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Major ~~Part~~ B. for Nov: 1903.

SUBJECT.

Inamdars claim to the Toddy Revenue of Kotaganahalli Village, Chamarajnagar Taluk.-

1. From the Excise Commr:, No: 4576/244 & 7-2-1903 - Submits the record of enquiry regarding the toddy revenue of Kotaganahalli Village, Chamarajnagar Taluk.

2. To the Excise Commrs No: 548 & 28-4-03, G. R. S. 58 Observes that the Village was beche & to enquire if it has received an access

of population

3. From the Excise Commr: No: 2954/142 dt 13-10-03. Returns the record of enquiry, and states that the Gramdars are entitled only to the free-rent under the circumstances mentioned by the Census Supdt: & Amildar of the Taluk.

4. To the Excise Commr: and the Comptroller. G.O. No: 404-5 Exc: F. 12-01 dt 20-11-03. Allowing the claim of Gramdars of Kotagamahalli Village, Chamarajnagar Taluk, to the Toddy Excise revenue of the Village. —

24/2/14

SR 1615 R

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSOR.

REVENUE AND GENERAL, DEPARTMENTS.

Short Office Note.

*Subject Excise Comm's letter no 4576/246 dt 7/11/25 Inamdars' claim to the toddy revenue of the Sarvamanya Kotagarahalli village, Channarayapatna Taluk Mysore Distt

B

Note The memo of 23rd Dec 1924 on the Inam settlement entitle the inamdars to the toddy revenue of the village in question. The assignment of the revenue by the inamdars is also learned to some extent. There is a grove cent no shop in the village and to virtually. Comm'r. C. Venkatrao has recognised the claim the future payment being calculated by rule 13. The he has drawn no distinction between "tree rent & "the excise revenue from toddy" or in other words "Tree tax". By Comm's opinion is not feasible coming as in Common with all the Cases in this Distt. The Excise Comm has recognised the claim subject to rules 2, 5 & 13. But the

* No. and date of letter, from whom, catch words to denote nature of Subject should be stated, in red ink.

If more than one letter of the same file as long as there is some Note should be in black ink. Write only on side of this form.

There is no important - the Inam settlement the starting point -

village is in the Jemmu Settlement said to be "Bechinakh" & still continues as such, vide the affidavit & map 13.

Submitted for orders as to whether a draft order may be put up according or an enquiry may be made whether the village is "Aband" or "Bechinakh"

Place
Dated 4.03. Put up a draft pointing out that according to the Inam Reports & Enquiries, the village was Bechinakh in 1879 & enquiries of the Village Officers since then

28/4/03

Draft submitted.

Place
Dated 14/11/03

Note sent. The draft was issued vide No. 548 dt. 27.11.1903
28.4.03. and in reply thereto the Petitioner now states that the village is still Bechinakh which is based on the authority of the statement of both the Inam Superintendent & the Surveyor of the Taluk (Revenue Papers). He withdraft withdrawing the claim dated 13/11/03. to the extent recorded & under Rule 14 etc. etc. —→

5/11/3

To the Dewan,
through the 2nd. Councillor.

I think the order proposed allowing the claim, is quite right - I agree C.

10/11/03

10/11

1903 3

E.251/14 2 03

8868

14 FEB 1903

1903

From C. N. Parmaiya Esqr
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To
H. V. Nanjundiah Esqr
Secretary to Government
General & Revenue
Departments.

Dated 7th February 1903.

Despatched 11 do

Received

General No. 4576

No. 244

Enclosure No. 1 file

Subject-

Submits with his opinion the record of enquiry relating to the Jodday use of Kotaganiahalli Agrahara Village, Channarayapatna Taluk.

E. Clerk
(Mr. C. V.)
Note submitted
True
26/4/03.

Excise Commissioner's Office

No 1576 dated 7th February 1903.

From

K. V. Purnaiya Esquire
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

A. V. Narayandiah Esquire,
Secretary to Government
General & Revenue Department

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Kota-gramakalli Imam Village, Chamrajnagar Taluk, I am to state that I concur in the investigating officer's opinion that the Inamdar is entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. There is no Toddy shop, but there is only a date grove in the village. Accordingly Excise

Revenue

Revenue is payable to him, subject to Rules 2, 5 and 13 in Government Proceedings — No. 2074-83 R.B. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

[illegible]
for Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

10

Excise case no: 6

6

The village of Kolagamahally is a personal Inam.

Three of the surviving Inamdars have produced copies of title deeds & Inam settlement Registers, of which the latter is an authenticated copy, wherein the Inamdar's little & Toddy revenue is recognised.

As there is no shop in the village, the Inamdars are entitled to receive a lump sum grant calculated on the census of the village under clause 13 sec IV of the Excise Rules.

6/12/02

A.C

Statement of claim in Haradanahally Revenue village Sub Division, Nanjangoode Taluk

Haradanahally.	2	Name of holding Kanamdar or Inagani
Kotaganahally.	3	Name of village Inam, Jodi or Kayamgutta
1. Seetharama jetty. 2. Rama jetty 3 Venkataramana jetty.	4	Name of Kanamdar or kayamgutta dar
1. Nirupsto Amildar dated 23.12.1824 and 12.3.1824 2. Rutchalikas from Jodidy Contractors dated 15-10-83 and 1.5.1887. 3. Inam title deed and settlement Register. Submitted.	5,	Documents relied upon.
1. Seetharama jetty. 2. Rama jetty. 3. Venkataramana jetty.	6	Witnesses examined

Dated 18 December 1902
Mysore.

Finding by the Special Sub Division Officer, Palana Excise Case No. 6.

enclosure land claim to Peermet recognized under clause 13 section IV.

		Finding
The amount to be calculated in reference to the population of the village and the annual realization	2	Amount awarded if any
The village of Kotaganahally is a personal Inam. Three of the surviving Inamdars have produced copies of title deed and Inam settlement register of which the latter is an authenticated Copy, wherein the Inamdar's title to Toddy Revenue is recognized. As there is no shop in the village, the Inamdars are entitled to receive a lump sum grant calculated on the Census of the village under clause 13 Section IV of the Excise Rules.	1	Grounds of decision

Recommended by
Assistant Commissioner
In charge of Kolar Sub Division
Chamarajnagar

9

03 Leo 5718
Ent. 12-1871.
Dy 28/4/03.

2a Necessaire Comte
Commissaire

Vide Leo. 4576. Dy 2414

In the case of the selection of engineers to be employed in the various departments of the Sanitary Board of Calcutta, Chairmanship, Appointments &c.

In returning the accompanying letter from the Secretary to the Government of Bengal, the Hon'ble Member in charge of the Department has directed me to request that you will kindly

[illegible] in 1879

[illegible] to recognise

if it has ~~become~~ received access of [illegible]

~~[illegible]~~ prince [illegible]

B Co.

[illegible] (25/4/03)

SR 16/04-05 Ex C83 & 16/04-05 ; 4

MYSORE GOVERNMENT GENERAL SECRETARIAT 1903

From T. Chennaiya Esq Ba
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore,

To H. V. Venkatadayya Esq Dist
Secretary to the Govt of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts

Dated 12th Sept

Despatched 15th Sept

Received

General No. 2954
No. 142

Enclosure No 1 file

In returning the record of enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of Sivarudanaya Kolagamahalli village in Channarayapatna taluk, Hassan, that the Mandoris are entitled only to Free rent under the circumstances mentioned by the Amildar of the taluk.

Exam
15.10.05

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12-02.

No 2954/142 and 1 file Excise Commissioner's Office

Dated, Bangalore 13th October 1903.

From

C. V. Ramaya Esquire B.A.

Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

N. V. Narajundayya Esquire B.A.

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Department

Sir,

In returning herewith the record
of enquiry relating to the toddy renewal
of Gurramadagu halagavahalli village
Chamarajnagar taluk, I have the honour
to state that the Census Superintendent
says that the above village is still
Beehrack as is seen from the Census
Returns for that village. The
Amildar of the taluk reports that
the village is depopulated. Therefore the case falls under Rule 14,

and

and the Iroquois are entitled only

to Treaty.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Lewis Cass

His Excellency Commissioner

&c &c &c

Ghent

SL 4

Mysore Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat,
Excise Branch.

File No. (if any) and its date—

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:

Correspondence ending with letter No. 2954/142 dt 13.10.03 from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore regarding the Inamdars claim to the toddy Excise revenue of the Sarvamanya Kotapandalli village, Chamarajapet T.

Order:

404-5/4712-01 dt 25.11.03.

The Nimp dated 23.12.1824 on the Inam Settlement Conceded to the Inamdars the right to the toddy revenue of the village. There is a grove but no shop in it. Under the circumstances, sort concurring with the investigating Officer and the Excise Commissioner are

ion of opinion that
the Inamdars are entitled
to the Land Revenue
Appeal for Rules 2, 5 & 14
of the Contained in Govt
Proc. 2074-83. dt 2.8.01.
R.T 166.92

Secretary

To
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore returning
records

The Comptroller, Mysore

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Excise, dated 20th November 1903.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 2954—142, dated 13th October 1903, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, regarding the Inamdar's claim to the toddy Excise revenue of the Sarvamanya Kotaganahalli village, Chamrajnagar Taluk.

No. 404-5—Ex. F. 12-01, DATED BANGALORE, 20TH NOVEMBER 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—The Nirup dated 23rd December 1824, and the Inam Settlement concede to the Inamdars the right to the toddy revenue of the village. There is a grove but no shop in it. Under the circumstances Government, concurring with the investigating officer and the Excise Commissioner, are of opinion that the inamdars are entitled to the toddy Excise revenue subject to Rules 2, 5 and 14 contained in Government Proceedings No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning the records.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—C.R.

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 20th November 1903

No. 404-5
Ex. F.12-01

Allowing the claim of the Inamdars of Kotaganahalli village, Chamrajnagar Taluk, to the toddy Excise revenue of the village.

SBP—3-12-03—50